

## REMARKS

The present amendment is supplemental to the Amendment and Reply filed on March 18, 2004, the remarks of which are incorporated by reference herein.

New claims 31-44 are being added. New claims 31-44 correspond to claims 1, 2, 6, 14-18, 21, 22, and 27-30, respectively, but are broader in scope by removing the limitation of a gateway connected to the internet. New claim 31 also differs from corresponding claim 1 in that the subscriber telephonic device connected to a subscriber switched telephone network is recited in the preamble instead of the body of claim 31. No new matter is being added.

This amendment adds claims in this application. A detailed listing of all claims that are, or were, in the application, irrespective of whether the claim(s) remain under examination in the application, is presented, with an appropriate defined status identifier.

After amending the claims as set forth above, claims 1-6, 11, 14-19 and 21-44 are now pending in this application.

### **Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103**

Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14-22, 24-26, 28 and 30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,282,275 to Gurbani et al. (hereafter "Gurbani"). Claims 3, 4 and 6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gurbani in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,898,770 to Valentine (hereafter "Valentine"). Claim 23 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gurbani in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,946,386 to Rogers et al. (hereafter "Rogers"). Claims 27 and 29 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rogers in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,282,269 to Bowater et al. (hereafter "Bowater"). The claims rejected were addressed in the Amendment and Reply filed on March 18, 2004.

### ***New claims 31-44***

New claims 31-44 are also believed to distinguish over the references cited in the above noted rejections, and applicant respectfully requests that new claims 31-44 also be passed to issue.

Applicant believes that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration of the application as amended is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is felt that a telephone interview would advance the prosecution of the present application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required regarding this application under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16-1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. Should no proper payment be enclosed herewith, as by a check being in the wrong amount, unsigned, post-dated, otherwise improper or informal or even entirely missing, the Commissioner is authorized to charge the unpaid amount to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. If any extensions of time are needed for timely acceptance of papers submitted herewith, Applicant hereby petitions for such extension under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 and authorizes payment of any such extensions fees to Deposit Account No. 19-0741.

Respectfully submitted,

Date May 5, 2004

By Thomas G. Bilodeau

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP  
Customer Number: 22428  
Telephone: (202) 672-5416  
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

Brian J. McNamara  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 32,789

Thomas G. Bilodeau  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 43,438